

SOFTWARE QUALITY ASSURANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Background of the Invention

The present invention relates to the field of software quality assurance. It finds particular application in conjunction with a networked automated Software Quality Assurance ("SQA") management system for managing an entire SQA program and will be described with particular reference thereto. Although this invention was created for an SQA program, it is to be appreciated that the invention is applicable to all quality assurance and auditing programs, including, for example, ISO 9000 and TL 9000 audits, manufacturing audits, and other like applications.

The Software Engineering Institute's ("SEI") Software Capability Maturity Model ("CMM") establishes SQA as a Key Process Area ("KPA"). To obtain a CMM Level 2 (or better) rating the SQA KPA must be fully satisfied. The CMM has become the leading software improvement model in the industry and as such many of the software companies are ensuring their development processes meet the intent of the CMM. Furthermore, the United States government requires that any company awarded a government software development contract must be assessed at CMM Level 3 or better. Whether through government regulation or voluntary choice of individual companies, SQA has become a very vital practice in the software industry.

While great pressures exist for businesses to be assessed at higher CMM levels, the requirements of the SQA KPA may be quite burdensome for companies to implement. Traditionally, SQA programs have been implemented by completing finding and observation forms either manually or with a word

processor. Reports are generated either manually or via word processors/spreadsheets. Additionally, hard-copies of the forms and reports are typically stored on-site (e.g., in filing cabinets). Lastly, responses to the findings are handled by manually completing the corrective and/or preventative actions before mailing them back to an SQA Engineer (e.g., auditor) for approval. The conventional methods for completing finding/observation forms, generating reports, and resolving the finding/observation are slow and tedious, especially because interactions may go through several iterations until the parties agree on the proper course of action to resolve the finding/observation.

The present invention provides a new and improved method and apparatus which overcomes the above-referenced problems and others.

Summary of the Invention

A method for auditing an activity, which is implemented at an organization, documents an activity to be audited within a database. The database is included in a network accessible by the organization and an auditing entity. The activity is audited. A determination is made if the audited activity produces a finding. If the audited activity produces the finding, the finding is documented within the database. A notification of the finding is automatically transmitted, via the network, from the auditing entity to the organization.

In accordance with one aspect of the invention, a determination is made if the audited activity produces an observation. If the audited activity produces the observation, the observation is documented within the database. A notification of the observation is automatically transmitted, via the network, from the auditing entity to the organization.

In accordance with another aspect of the invention, the finding is resolved.

In accordance with a more limited aspect of the invention, the resolving step includes developing, within the organization, a proposed response for resolving the finding and transmitting, via the network, the proposed response to the auditing entity.

In accordance with a more limited aspect of the invention, the resolving step includes determining if the proposed response is acceptable to the auditing entity. If the proposed response is acceptable, the proposed response is implemented at the organization. If the proposed response is not acceptable, a first negotiation between the organization and the auditing entity is performed to determine a negotiated response. If the negotiated response is acceptable to both the organization and the auditing entity, the negotiated response is implemented at the organization. If the negotiated response is not acceptable to both the organization and the auditing entity, the status of the finding is escalated.

In accordance with an even more limited aspect of the invention, a determination is made if the implemented response is acceptable to the auditing entity. If the implemented response is acceptable to the auditing entity, a status of the finding is set to resolved. If the implemented response is not acceptable to the auditing entity, second negotiations are performed between the organization and the auditing entity. If the second negotiations do not result in a response acceptable to both the organization and the auditing entity, a status of the finding is escalated.

In accordance with another aspect of the invention, a report summarizing the finding is transmitted, via the network, to a predefined addressee.

One advantage of the present invention is that it provides a distributed computer-based automated Software Quality Assurance (SQA) Management System, which may be used to implement and manage an SQA program.

Another advantage of the present invention is that it provides a computer-based automated SQA management system in which planned (and completed) activities are recorded, findings and observations are managed, and reports are automatically generated.

Another advantage of the present invention is that it provides a means for planning and documenting SQA activities, recording and tracking findings and observations, and providing SQA program analysis and reports.

Another advantage of the present invention is that it provides a means for communicating between an SQA Engineer and an organization while maintaining a historical record of the communications.

5 Still further advantages of the present invention will become apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art upon reading and understanding the following detailed description of the preferred embodiments.

Brief Description of the Drawings

The invention may take form in various components and arrangements of components, and in various steps and arrangements of steps. The
10 drawings are only for purposes of illustrating a preferred embodiment and are not to be construed as limiting the invention.

FIGURE 1 illustrates a network environment in which the SQA Management System is implemented according to the present invention;

15 FIGURE 2 illustrates a block of the SQA Management System shown in FIGURE 1;

FIGURE 3 illustrates a flowchart of a high-level process implemented by the SQA Management System shown in FIGURE 1;

FIGURE 4 illustrates a flowchart of a finding notification and response process implemented by the SQA Management System shown in
20 FIGURE 1;

FIGURE 5 illustrates a flowchart of a finding resolution, verification, and closure process implemented by the SQA Management System shown in FIGURE 1; and

25 FIGURE 6 illustrates a flowchart of a periodic reporting process implemented by the SQA Management System shown in FIGURE 1.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments

FIGURE 1 illustrates a network environment **10** in which various features of the present invention are implemented. In particular, the network environment **10** includes client computer systems **12a**, **12b**, **12c**, a network **14**

(e.g., an Internet/Intranet), and a server computer system 16, which includes a mass storage device such as a hard disk (or RAID device). Although three (3) client computers 12a, 12b, 12c are disclosed in the preferred embodiment, it is to be understood that any number of client computer systems are contemplated.

5 The client computer systems 12 are operable by users at respective organizations, which implement an organizational activity to be audited, for communicating with the server computer system 16 via the network 14. In this manner, users at the audited organizations access services provided by the server computer system 16 via the client computer systems 12. To this end, each of the
10 client computer systems 12 includes conventional computer hardware (e.g., a processor, memory, mouse, keyboard, network interface card) that in combination execute client software (e.g., e-mail clients, web browsers, file managers) that provide an interface to services provided by the network 14.

 Although the preferred embodiment is described in terms of
15 auditing an organizational process, it is to be understood that the organizational activities that may be audited include any organizational process, work product, record (e.g., quality record), metric (e.g., measurement), modification request, and/or inspection. Furthermore, any other organizational activity that may be audited is also contemplated.

20 The network 14 is operable to provide a communications link between the client computer systems 12 and the server computer system 16. It is contemplated that the network 14 be implemented with various media (e.g., wireless, coaxial cable, twisted pairs, fiber optical cables, switches, and/or routers) and networking protocols (e.g., Ethernet, NETBUI, TCP/IP, and/or ATM). In a
25 preferred embodiment, the network 14 includes multiple geographically disbursed local area networks (LANs) that are interconnected to form a wide area network (WAN). More specifically, in a preferred embodiment, the network 14 utilizes gateway computer systems and the Internet to interconnect the geographically
30 disperse LANs.

 The server computer system 16 is operable to communicate with the client computer systems 12 via the network 14 and provide the client computer

systems 12 with various services. To this end, the network 14 preferably includes conventional computer hardware (e.g. a processor, memory, input device) which in combination execute software that implements services 18 provided by the server computer system. Examples of services that the server computer system 16 may provide are print services, application services, file services, database services, e-mail services, proxy services, web services, name resolution services (e.g. DNS, WINS), ftp services, news services, gateway services, and/or telnet services. In particular, the server computer system 16, in accordance with the present invention, is operable to provide the client computer systems 12 with a software quality assurance management service.

Although the preferred embodiment shows the services (e.g., the database services) 18 included in the network 14, it is to be understood that one or more of the services may also be implemented from the server computer system 16.

15 Software Quality Assurance Management System Architecture

With reference to FIGURES 1 and 2, a Software Quality Assurance ("SQA") Management System 20 may be implemented upon the network environment 10. In general, the SQA Management System 20 provides a mechanism for the implementation and management of an SQA program for auditing a process at an organization. The system 20 is used to plan and document SQA activities, record and track findings and observations, and provide SQA program analysis and reports. The SQA Management System 20 also provides a mechanism of communication between an SQA Engineer (an auditor or auditing entity) and users at an audited organization, which access the client computer system 12, and maintains historical records of these communications.

The SQA auditing activities may include reviewing aspects of various work products for a process (e.g., quality records, design documents, and requirements documents). The SQA Engineer documents the planned auditing activities within the system 20 by entering the planned activities into the database 18 preferably using a predesigned form.

As will be discussed in more detail below, once the SQA activities are planned and documented in the database **18**, the planned activities are implemented at the appropriate time. For example, the auditor may review design documents, at the scheduled time, to identify observations and/or findings. An observation represents a non-mandatory recommendation regarding the audited activity. For example, the SQA Engineer may recommend a more efficient manner for implementing one aspect of the design being audited. A finding, on the other hand, represents a deficiency in the audited activity that requires action to be taken by the audited organization. For example, the SQA Engineer may discover that the design proposed in the document being audited will not work (i.e., is deficient). The auditor communicates the observation(s) and/or finding(s) to the user (i.e., the client computer system **12**) at the audited organization via the system **20**. In this manner, communication is set up between the SQA Engineer and the user so that findings may be resolved.

The SQA Management System **20** includes a client component **22** and a content provider component **24**. In general, the client component **22** corresponds to software executing on the client computer systems **12**, and the content provider component **24** corresponds to software executing on the server computer system **16**. The client component **22** in conjunction with the client computer system **12** provides a user interface to the SQA Management System **20** from which a user may transfer requests to the content provider component **24** of the SQA Management System **20**. Moreover, the client component **22** is operable to display content received from the content provider component **24** in response to user requests.

In the preferred embodiment, the client component **22** is implemented with a conventional web browser. Accordingly, from the client software perspective, the SQA Management System **20** of the preferred embodiment is platform independent. However, it should be appreciated that the client component **22** of the SQA Management System **20** may be implemented with software other than conventional web browser software. In particular, the

client component **22** could be implemented as an application program that uses different protocols to communicate with the content provider component **24**.

The content provider component **24** is generally operable to receive user requests from the client components **22**, dynamically generate content in response to the user requests, and provide the generated content to the client components **22**. To this end, the content provider component **24** in the preferred embodiment includes a content server component **26**, a content generator component **30**, and a database component **32**.

In the preferred embodiment, the database component **32** is implemented with two database servers. One database server is used to store documents (e.g., user guide, report templates, reports, etc.) and the other database server is used to store data from which the content generator component **30** generates dynamic content. It should be appreciated that while the preferred embodiment of the SQA Management System **20** utilizes two (2) database servers to implement the database component **32**, the database component **32** may be implemented by one (1) or more databases. Moreover, document storage could be implemented using a file server.

The content server component **26** is operable to receive user requests from the client component **22** and provide dynamically generated content to the client components **22**.

The content generator component **30**, in general, is operable to dynamically generate content for the content server component **26** in response to the content server component **26** launching scripts, code and/or software calls. More specifically, the content generator component **30** is operable to query the database component **32** to obtain information from the database component **32**, dynamically format the obtained information, and provide the content server component **26** with dynamically formatted information so that the content server **26** may deliver the information (i.e. content) to the requesting client component **22**.

The client component **22** communicates with the content provider **24** via a network protocol **34** (e.g., Ethernet, NETBUI, TCP/IP, and/or ATM). Within the content provider **24**, the content server **26** communicates with the

content generator 30 via various application calls/script/code 36. The application calls/script/code 36 is typically built into the client application and may, for example, properly size a form for display on one of the client computer systems 12, which are a part of the client component 22. The content generator 30
5 communicates with the database component 32 via various database queries 38.

Software Quality Assurance Management System

The architecture described above provides a general framework in which an SQA Management System 20 may be implemented. In particular, the preferred embodiment of the present invention configures the SQA Management
10 System 20 to implement an SQA program that complies with the requirements of the CMM defined by the Software Engineering Institute ("SEI") at Carnegie-Melon University. However, in other embodiments, the SQA Management System 20 may also be configured to implement other types of quality assurance and/or auditing programs such as those used for ISO 9000 and/or TL 9000.

15 In the preferred embodiment of the present invention, the SQA Management System 20 is used to implement a system that provides all of the functions necessary to implement a CMM conforming SQA program. In particular, the SQA Management System 20 is programmed to provide security features, activity and finding management, document storage and retrieval, metrics,
20 reports, forms, etc.

To this end, the SQA Management System 20 is programmed to provide the following (in a systematic manner) to an auditor and/or an employee at the audited organization:

- 25
1. templates (i.e. forms) used to document the planning/completion of tasks under the SQA program;
 2. templates used to document the management of findings and/or observations;
 3. metrics and reports used to manage the SQA program;

4. a communication mechanism between the auditor and the audited organization; and
5. an on-line users guide to effectively configure, use and administer the SQA Management System

5 Operation of a Preferred Embodiment of the SQA Management System

FIGURES 3-6 illustrate operational flowcharts **50, 52, 54, 56** of the preferred embodiment of the SQA Management System **20**. In a preferred embodiment, each of the data entry steps illustrated in FIGURES 3-6 is accomplished via a form specifically designed for the particular step/task. The form is completed by the various users via the client computers **12**.

With reference to FIGURES 2 and 3, a high-level SQA Management System process **50** begins in a step **100**. A system administrator configures, in step **102**, the SQA Management System **20** to support the requirements of an organization being audited. Configuring the system **20** includes, for example, inputting information needed to establish plans for specific releases of the organization's product(s). In the preferred embodiment, the system **20** is configured via an SQA planning/configuration form displayed on one of the client computer systems **12**, which is accessed by the SQA Engineer. The SQA planning/configuration form captures information regarding user logins/passwords, organizational and project information, finding response, resolution and escalation intervals, and recipients (and e-mail addresses) for each of the reports. More specifically, the form collects information about the organization being audited and how that organization intends to implement SQA. Examples of the information collected in the SQA planning/configuration form include the name of the organization, the name of the project, the name(s) of the customer(s), delivery dates associated with the project, the maximum number of days allowed for a response to major and minor findings (e.g., 14 and 21, respectively), a path name to the database, the maximum number of days allowed for a resolution to major and minor findings (e.g., 60 and 120, respectively), the maximum number of days allowed for verifying the resolution to a major or minor finding is acceptable (e.g.,

210 for both major and minor findings), the maximum number of days allowed before escalating a finding if a major or minor finding is not resolved (e.g., 14 for both major and minor findings), and the names and contact information (including e-mail addresses) of persons who will be contacted (e-mailed) if a finding is escalated.

Once the SQA Management System **20** has been configured, the SQA Engineer documents, in a step **104**, each of the planned auditing activities in the system **20**. The auditing activities are documented, using the SQA planning/configuration form, by entering tracking information (e.g., identifying the names and scheduling dates for the activities to be tracked) associated with the activities. As discussed above, the audited activities may include reviewing quality records, design documents, and/or requirements documents, etc. The audited activities are performed at the scheduled times (as defined by the tracking information entered in the step **104**).

Once a particular SQA activity has been accomplished, the SQA Engineer (auditor) records (via an activity form displayed on the client computer **12**), in a step **106**, the completed activity in the system **20**. Information regarding the activity name, the date the activity was performed, and/or notes about the activity are captured in the activity form. A determination is made, in a step **108**, whether the particular activity produces finding(s) (i.e., shows the process is deficient). If it is determined in the step **108** that findings are produced, control passes to a step **112** in which the SQA engineer enters (documents) the finding(s) in the system **120** via a finding form displayed on the client computer **12**. The step **112** is described in more detail below. Information such as the activity during which the finding was discovered, the finding type, and a detailed description of the finding is entered in the finding form. In the preferred embodiment, there are two types of finding: a Process Nonconformance finding, and a Quality Jeopardy finding. The Process Nonconformance finding indicates the organization failed to follow the guidelines of the process; the Quality Jeopardy finding indicates that the organization followed the prescribed process, but that the process itself may be flawed and, therefore, produce defective results. Control then passes to a step **114**

for determining if the particular activity results in observations. Otherwise, if it is determined in the step **108** that no findings are produced, control passes directly to the step **114**.

5 If it is determined in the step **114** that the particular activity produces observation(s), the SQA engineer enters (records) (via an observation form displayed on the client computer **12**), in a step **116**, the observations(s) in the system in the system **20**. Information regarding the activity name and date, the project, and a description of the observation are captured in the observation form. Control then passes to a step **120** for determining if all the planned activities are
10 completed. Otherwise, if it is determined in the step **114** that the particular activity produces no observation(s), control passes directly to the step **120**.

If it is determined in the step **120** that all the planned activities are not completed, control returns to the step **106** for processing the next planned activity; otherwise, control passes to a step **122** for producing project summary
15 reports. The high-level SQA Management System process **50** ends in a step **124**.

With reference to FIGURES 1, 2 and 4, a finding notification and response process **52**, which corresponds to the step **112**, begins in a step **150**. The system **20** sends notification, in a step **152**, of any findings to the audited organization via the client computer systems **12**. More specifically, the system **20**
20 automatically transmits the notification from the auditing entity to the organization through the network **14** via an e-mail. In a step **154**, the audited organization determines a proposed response to the finding and transmits the proposed response to the auditor via the system **20**. The system **20** automatically updates the finding status, in a step **156**, to indicate that the response has been sent. The SQA
25 Engineer reviews the finding response, in a step **158**, and determines, in a step **160**, if the finding response is acceptable.

If it is determined in the step **160** that the finding response is not acceptable to the auditor, he/she sends, in a step **162**, a finding discussion e-mail via the system **20**. The auditor and the audited organization negotiate, in a step
30 **164**, an acceptable finding response via e-mail with all communication between the parties captured in the system for historical purposes. A determination is made, in

a step **166**, whether the negotiations are successful. If the negotiations are successful, control passes to a step **168** for ending the finding notification and response process **52**; furthermore, the negotiated response is implemented by the organization. Otherwise, control passes to a step **170** in which the finding status is
5 escalated in the system **20** by the auditor. Control then returns to the step **160**.

The timing and individuals involved at each of the escalation levels are set in the configuring step **102**. Preferably, there are three (3) levels of escalation. The system **20** automatically escalates the status of a finding from the lowest level to the highest level as a function of the due dates that have passed
10 without the finding being resolved. Alternatively, the auditor and/or the project team may manually escalate the finding by completing a Finding Escalation Form via the client computer **12**. When manually escalating the finding, the level and type (discussed below) are specified by the party escalating the finding.

Furthermore, there are three (3) types of escalation: 1) "Not
15 Accepted by Team" indicates that the project team at the audited organization disagrees with the SQA Engineer on the validity of the finding, the extent of the response, or the completeness or effectiveness of the correction action to resolve the finding; 2) "Requires Management Assistance" indicates that, although there is agreement, the resources are not available to continue with corrective action; and
20 3) "Overdue Finding/Response Resolution" indicates if a response and/or resolution is not achieved by the specified date. As discussed above, the system **20** automatically escalates the status of a finding to the "Overdue Finding/Response Resolution" if a due date has passed.

If it is determined in the step **160** that the finding response is
25 acceptable to the auditor, control passes directly to the step **168** for ending the finding notification and response process **52**.

With reference to FIGURES 2 and 5, a finding resolution, verification, and closure process **54** begins in a step **200**. A project team takes corrective and/or preventive action to resolve the finding in a step **202**. The
30 finding resolution is entered in the system **20** and transmitted to the SQA Engineer

in a step **204**. The SQA Engineer reviews the finding resolution in a step **206**. A determination is made, in a step **208**, whether the resolution is acceptable.

If the finding resolution is determined in the step **208** to be acceptable to the auditor (the SQA Engineer), the auditor updates the finding status to "Resolved" in a step **210**. Then, the auditor verifies the finding resolution results in a step **212**. The auditor closes the finding by updating the finding status to "Verified/Closed" in a step **214**. The finding resolution, verification, and closure process **54** ends in a step **216**.

If the finding resolution is determined in the step **208** to not be acceptable to the auditor (the SQA Engineer), the SQA Engineer sends, in a step **220**, a finding discussion e-mail via the system **20** to the respective user (i.e., client computer **12**). The auditor and the audited organization negotiate, in a step **222**, an acceptable finding resolution via e-mail with all communication between the parties being captured in the system for historical purposes. A determination is made, in a step **224**, whether the negotiations are successful.

If it is determined in the step **224** that the negotiations are successful, control passes to the step **216** for ending the finding resolution, verification, and closure process **54**. If, on the other hand, it is determined in the step **224** that the negotiations are not successful, control passes to a step **226** in which the SQA Engineer changes the status of the finding in the system **20** to "Escalated." In this manner, the auditor escalates the finding in the system **20**. Control then returns to the step **208**.

With reference to FIGURES 2 and 6, a periodic (e.g., weekly) reporting process **56** begins in a step **250**. A determination is made, in a step **252**, whether any activities were performed during, for example, the last seven (7) days. If it is determined in the step **252** that activities have been performed in the last seven (7) days, control passes to a step **254** for automatically transmitting (e.g., e-mailing) a weekly Activities Report to a specified distribution list; control then passes to a step **256**. If, on the other hand, it is determined in the step **252** that no activities have been performed in the last seven (7) days, control passes directly to the step **256**.

In the step **256**, a determination is made if any observations were made during, for example, the last seven (7) days. If it is determined in the step **256** that observations were made in the last seven (7) days, control passes to a step **258** for automatically transmitting (e.g., e-mailing) a weekly Observations Report to a specified distribution list; control then passes to a step **260**. If, on the other hand, it is determined in the step **256** that no observations were made in the last seven (7) days, control passes directly to the step **260**.

In the step **260**, a determination is made if any findings were made during, for example, the last seven (7) days. If it is determined in the step **260** that findings were made in the last seven (7) days, control passes to a step **262** for automatically transmitting (e.g., e-mailing) a weekly Findings Report to a specified distribution list; control then passes to a step **264**. If, on the other hand, it is determined in the step **260** that no findings were made during the last seven (7) days, control passes directly to the step **264**.

In the step **264**, a determination is made if any escalated findings exist. If it is determined in the step **264** that escalated findings exist, control passes to a step **266** for automatically transmitting (e.g., e-mailing) a weekly Escalation Report to a specified distribution list; control then passes to a step **268**. If, on the other hand, it is determined in the step **264** that no escalated findings exist, control passes directly to the step **268**.

The weekly reporting process **56** ends in the step **264**. As is evident from the above discussion, the system **20** mails out reports detailing activities, findings, observations and escalated findings for a specified period of time (e.g., a week). If any of the recordsets is empty (e.g., there are not any activities, observations, findings, or escalated findings for the week), the respective report is not sent. Furthermore, although the reporting process has been described in terms of a weekly reporting process, it is to be understood that other time frames (e.g., daily bi-weekly or monthly) are also contemplated.

Additionally, it is to be understood that the system **20** may generate management reports summarizing an SQA Engineer's performance and/or production. Also, the SQA Engineer may generate reports for tracking his/her

schedule and/or production. Furthermore, a team may generate reports for evaluating trend analysis and/or performance. For example, a trend analysis report may indicate a team has produced an unusually high number of findings.

- 5 The invention has been described with reference to the preferred embodiment. Obviously, modifications and alterations will occur to others upon reading and understanding the preceding detailed description. It is intended that the invention be construed as including all such modifications and alterations insofar as they come within the scope of the appended claims or the equivalents thereof.